## **Blowing Bubbles in the Galaxy: Chandra Detects the 1st Ever Resolved Astrosphere Around a Main Sequence G-Star**

HD 61005 (aka "The Moth"), an ~100 Myr Old, "Opposite Side of the Local Bubble", G9V Disk-Hosting Star

Carey Lisse, Meredith MacGregor, E. Provornikova, Pontus Brandt, Ralph McNutt, Larry Paxton **(JHU-APL),** Scott Wolk, Brad Snios, V. Kashyap **(CXC/Harvard-SAO),** H.M. Gunther **(MIT),** K. Dennerl **(MPE),** K.G. Kislyakova **(Vienna),** Dean Hines, Christine Chen, John Debes **(STScI),** Seth Redfield **(Weslyan),** Jeff Linsky, Mihalyi Horanyi **(CU),** Priscilla Frisch **(UChicago),** Jon Slavin **(Harvard-SAO),** E.F. Guinan **(Villanova),** Y.R. Fernandez **(UCF) CXUniverse 18 June 2024**









Parker 1960 Heliosphere Models

**New** Chandra HD61005 X-ray Spectral Imaging of Extended Astrosphere + Star (Lisse+ 2024)









Astrospheres are bubbles blown out of the Galaxy by the pressure of a star's stellar wind (SW). Their boundaries are defined where the pressure of the instreaming galactic material (due to the star's orbital motion through the galaxy) equals the pressure of the outflowing SW.



Every single one of the ~100 Billion Stars in our Galaxy blows an Astrospheric Bubble around itself, and also faces an VLISM headwind as it plows through the ISM onits orbit around the Galactic Center ( $v_{rel} \sim 230$ km/sec, P<sub>rot</sub> ~ 250 Myr).

![](_page_2_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Figure_0.jpeg)

Detecting Astrospheres Around Mid-Life, Main Sequence Stars Like the Sun is Hard!

![](_page_3_Figure_2.jpeg)

Parker's 1960 TWO Heliosphere Morphology Predictions

In order to understand what our own heliosphere is like, understanding other nearby Local Bubble system's astrospheres & astroshocks is very important - but none of the O/BAGB star systems with known resolved astrospheres are anything like G2V Sol.

**Parker 1960** (yes, Parker of "Parker Solar Probe" ) using pressure balance

 $n_{\text{SW}} * \text{v}_{\text{SW}}{}^2 = n_{\text{ISM}} * \text{v}_{\text{ISM}}{}^2 + \text{B}_{\text{ISM}}{}^2 / 4 \pi ~ + \text{P}_{\text{ISM,thermal}}$ , found, with cavity radius ~10<sup>2</sup> AU,

![](_page_4_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Modern Day : Current Very Different Models for Our Heliosphere's STILL Poorly Understood Morphology**

![](_page_4_Picture_4.jpeg)

One More Important Piece of Information for this Talk : Stars Have Coronae, or Ultra Hot (~1 MK), Thin Atmospheres Above Their Surfaces That Emit XUV.

![](_page_5_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_2.jpeg)

This wide-field photo of totality caught the Kreutz sungrazing comet, 5008 SOHO. Lin Zixuan (Tsinghua University, China)

Great 08-Apr-2024 North American Solar Eclipse Directly Revealed the Actinic Light from Our Sun's MK Corona.

Observers who photographed the April 8th total solar eclipse received an unexpected bonus when totality revealed a comet diving toward the Sun, known as a Kreutz sungrazer. But spotting the death-diving comet required special tricks in observing and image processing.

To detect Astrospheric CXE, the emission measure  $\sigma_{\text{CXE}}$ <sup>\*</sup>  $n_{sw}$  v<sub>sw</sub> \* $n_{VLISM,neutral}$  must be large!

![](_page_6_Figure_0.jpeg)

## HD 61005 Circumstellar Disk - The Moth Hubble Space Telescope - NICMOS

NASA, ESA, D. Hines (Space Science Institute, New Mexico), and G. Schneider (University of Arizona) 2007

STScI-PRC08-01

**HD 61005 is located** ~110 ly (36 pc) away, **on the Other Side of the Local Bubble**, in Puppis (close to the sky direction of Sirius , but ~13x farther away).

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **HD61005: G9V**

Mass =  $^{\sim}$ 0.9 M<sub>sun</sub> Luminosity =  $0.6$  L<sub>sun</sub> Radius =  $0.86 R_{sun}$  $T_{\rm eff}$  = 5480 K Age = 50 - 100 Myr old  $P_{rot} \sim 5$  days  $d = 36$  pc distant No known planets (yet) Bright, massive circumstellar disk observed edge-on

HD61005 was detected by ROSAT in its first ever all-sky X-ray survey (1990) with good SNR, but not included in the ROSAT Point Source Catalogue due to its strange, extended shape.

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 1 (b) - ROSAT All sky survey (RASS) image of the HD 61005 field (grey) with XMM EPIC contours overlaid **(Red).** The star is in the center of the image at (07h 35m 47s, -32d 12m 11.5s), in the clearly large and extended fan-shaped grey area. It was this reported RASS extension and asymmetry, coupled with the detection of the extended dust disk by HST (Figure 1a), that prompted the authors to observe the system with Chandra. Note also the multiple faint smudges of reported RASS flux in this image; we find evidence for a point source  $\sim$ 1.3' N and W of HD 61005 in our ACIS imagery (Section 2.2).

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

*Archival 2MASS, Chandra/HRC, and GALEX observations of the HD61005 system.* HD61005 is a bright, unresolved source in each band. The  $\sim 12$ " extent of the NICMOS NIR image could easily fit within the HWHM resolution of the shallow, off-axis *Chandra/HRC measurement.*

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Archival HST/NICMOS near-infrared imagery of HD61005.** *(left***)** The swept-back wings of the outer disk can be clearly seen in contrast to the bright central flat disk running left-right in the center of the image. **Debes+ 2009 model of the system's dust structure** produced by invoking ISM wind ram pressure perturbations of circumstellar dust orbits. *(middle)* **Close up of HST/STIS (color) + ALMA imagery (contours) of HD61005 from MacGregor+ (2018),** which suggest that there are two components to the disk populated by both small micron-sized grains (HST) and larger mm-sized grains (ALMA): (1) a confined planetesimal belt between 42 and 67 AU with a rising surface density gradient and (2) an extended outer halo. **For scale,** Voyager 1 has found the heliopause in our  $L_r \sim 10^{27.5}$  system at ~150 AU. (right) HD61005: Chandra ACIS imagery is an ~10 pixels wide blob. For 0.5" x 0.5" pixels, this is a spherical blob about 5" in diameter, or 5" \* 35 pc \* 1AU/pc = 175 au **across.**

**We can expect ~100 Myr Old Sun-Like Stars (e.g. EK Dra, HD61005) should have hot coronae with 102- 103 times more XUV flux & SW than the Sun ("Sun in Time" study of Gstar Behavior by Guinan+ 2002, 2007)**

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_0.jpeg)

**We obtained new** *Chandra* **ACIS-S observations of HD61005 in Feb 2021.** 

X-ray spectra for HD61005, Tau Ceti and Beta Hyi after correction for total on-target integration time, distance, and ACIS-S Effective Collecting Area (t).

 $\approx$  ~0.1 Gyrs HD61005 is 2-3 orders of magnitude more luminous in the X-ray than 6-8 Gyrs old Beta Hyi & Tau Ceti, as predicted.

## **HD61005 is clearly extended in our imagery vs.** *Chandra* **archival images of other Sunlike G-stars.**

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

Chandra ACIS-S images of Beta Hyi and Tau Ceti vs HD61005, highlighting coronal and astrospheric components.

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

Chandra ACIS-S backgroundcorrected radial aperture photometry. Two components are clearly seen: a Point-source (Stellar Corona) + 1/p Extended Source (Halo). Halo dominates at  $r > 3$  pixels.

(Halo) vs (Star) Spectra From All Chandra Visits of HD 61005 in Feb 2021

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

![](_page_15_Figure_0.jpeg)

*Overlay of the new Chandra imaging of HD61005 on* **HST/NICMOS near-infrared imagery (***left***) and Debes+ 2009 model of the system's dust structure** (*right)* produced by invoking ISM wind ram pressure perturbations of circumstellar dust orbits.

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

Noteworthy is the spherical symmetry of the x-ray emission, denoting an astrosphere morphology dominated by the strong stellar wind of the  $\sim$  100 Myr old host G8V star; the ~100 au radial extent of the extended x-ray emission and the beginning of the NICMOS dust "wings" (f**or scale, Voyager 1 has found the heliopause in our Lx ~ 1027.5 system at ~120 AU), and the roots of the Wings at ~ the astropause distance.**

# **Simple Toy Model for HD61005 SW – VLISM Interaction**

• Given Pressure Balance  $n_{SW} * v_{SW}^2$  $=$  n<sub>ISM</sub> $*$  v<sub>ISM</sub><sup>2</sup>

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

$$
\text{Given } L_{\text{X, CXE}} \sim \sigma_{\text{CXE}} * n_{\text{neutral}} * n_{\text{sw}} (n_{\text{minor}} / n_{\text{H}}) * v_{\text{sw}} \times \text{Volume}_{\text{interaction}} * \langle E_{\text{photon}} \rangle
$$

- Then, assuming  $n_{sw}$   $\sim 1/r^2$ ,  $v_{ISM}$  = 25 km/sec (Debes 2009),  $(n_{minor}/n_{H}) \sim 10^{-3}$ , and  $V_{sw}$  1200 km/sec for a young G9-star
- Including new Chandra finding constraints :  $R_{astrosphere}$  ~ 100 au and  $L_{X,CXE}$  ~  $1.3 \times 10^{29}$  erg/sec
- $\Rightarrow$  We find  $n_{\text{VLISM}} = 100 300/\text{cm}^3$  (~1000x  $n_{\text{VLISM}}$  sun) and  $n_{\text{SW}}$  ~ 2000/cm<sup>3</sup> at **1 AU from host star (~103 solar)**

# **Conclusions for Chandra ACIS-S Imaging of HD61005**

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

- The stellar XUV activity and wind for HD61005 should be hot & high, about that observed by Guinan *et al.* for EK Dra, as HD61005 has an ~5 day stellar rotation rate. => We find an ~7 MK corona **> 200x more XUV active than the ~1 MK. ~4.5 Gyr old Sun or 6-7 Gyr G8V Tau Ceti**.

**- X-ray emission is extended out to ~100 au**, with a pronounced "Halo" not found in other pointsource G-star observations. The halo's x-ray spectrum is CXE line dominated, like our heliosphere's.

- HD61005' **local ISM must be very dense** in order for a system with L<sub>x</sub>  $\sim$  10<sup>2</sup> F<sub>SW, Sun</sub> to have an **astropause at only ~100 au**. =>  $\rho_{ISM, HD61005} = 100 - 300/cm<sup>3</sup>$  using simple pressure balance, densities found inside GMC's like the Local Lynx Cloud (LLC).

[The solar system system **TODAY** has  $p_{VLISM, Sun} = 0.2/cm^3$  and heliopause at ~120 AU, but would have a heliopause at  $\sim$  1000 au if the SW was 100x stronger].

- 1st ever spatially resolved G-star astrosphere: Due to **its youth** and its **dense VLISM, HD61005's CXE emission measure**  $n_{sw} * v_{sw} * n_{ISM} \approx 10^6 * n_{sw, Sun} * v_{sw, Sun} * n_{ISM, Sun}$ 

- **The resolved Halo does NOT appear to follow the well known disk + fan tail and appears spherical in nature.** This argues that the stellar wind – VLISM interaction is Parker stellar wind dominated. **CXUniverse 18 June 2024** **Open Questions**: HD61005 Needs Full-Up Heliosphere Modeling (e.g., "Face-On ISM RAM" Geometry)

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

**Solar System Evolution**: At r ~ 100 au, HD61005's astrosphere is smaller than our own  $(r \sim 120)! \Rightarrow$ When HD61005 moves into "normal" ISM space, its astrosphere will balloon up to  $r \approx 1000$  au (& ours will shrink down to  $r \approx 2$ -5 au when we move into its cloud as we orbit the galactic center!)

(Opher *et al.* 2023, 2024)

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

## **Search for Other Young G-star Disk Systems?**  Nearby, edge-on HD 107146 and HD 202917 seem promising.

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

## **Is There Hope for an Alpha Cen CXE Astrosphere Detection With NextGen X-**

<mark>ray Telescopes? n<sub>sw</sub>\*v<sub>sw</sub>\*n<sub>vlism</sub>/d<sup>2</sup> = 10<sup>2</sup> –</mark>  $10<sup>3</sup>$  in solar units for HD61005 &  $~1$  for Alpha Cen. So probably not…Procyon?

**The "Wings**" - are the "swept-back, fine particle" wings due to disk dust blowout, ISM sputtering of unprotected dust, or by exclusion of ISM neutrals? Are they coincident with the prongs of the croissant in the Boston groups models?

![](_page_19_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_11.jpeg)

#### **First Detection of a Resolved Astrosphere Around a Main Sequence**

#### **G-Star by Chandra**

C.M. Lisse<sup>1</sup>, S.J. Wolk<sup>2</sup>, B. Snios<sup>2</sup>, J.D. Slavin<sup>2</sup>, R.A. Osten<sup>3</sup>, D.C Hines<sup>3</sup>, J.H. Debes<sup>3</sup>, D. Koutroumpa<sup>4</sup>, V. Kharchenko<sup>2</sup>, M.A. MacGregor<sup>5</sup>, J.L. Linsky<sup>5</sup>, H.M. Günther<sup>6</sup>, E.F. Guinan<sup>7</sup>, S. Redfield<sup>8</sup>, P.C. Frisch<sup>9</sup>, K. Dennerl<sup>10</sup>, V. Kashyap<sup>2</sup>, K.G. Kislyakova<sup>11</sup>, Y.R. Fernandez<sup>12</sup>, E. Provornikova<sup>1</sup>, C.H. Chen<sup>3</sup>, R.L. McNutt<sup>1</sup>, P. Brandt<sup>1</sup>, L. Paxton<sup>1</sup>, M. Horanyi<sup>5</sup>

In preparation for submission to *Astrophysical Journal* 08-March-2024

<sup>1</sup>Planetary Exploration Group, Space Department, Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, 11100 Johns Hopkins Rd, Laurel, MD 20723 carey.lisse@jhuapl.edu, ralph.mcnutt@jhuapl.edu, pontus.brandt@jhuapl.edu

<sup>2</sup>Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA, 02138 swolk@cfa.harvard.edu, vkharchenko@cfa.harvard.edu, bradford.snios@cfa.harvard.edu, jslavin@cfa.harvaard.edu

<sup>3</sup>Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Dr. Baltimore, MD 21218 osten@stsci.edu, john.debes@gmail.com, hines@stsci.edu.cchen@stsci.edu

<sup>4</sup>Laboratoire Atmosphères, Observations Spatiales, 78280 Guyancourt, France Dimitra. Koutroumpa@latmos.ipsl.fr

<sup>5</sup>University of Colorado, Boulder CO Meredith.MacGregor@colorado.edu, jlinsky@jila.colorado.edu, mihaly.horanyi @lasp.colorado.edu

<sup>6</sup>Mass achusetts Institute of Technology, Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, 77 Mass achusetts Avenue, NE83-569, Cambridge, MA 02139 hgunther@mit.edu

Villanova University, Dept. of Astrophysics and Planetary Sci, 800 Lancaster Avenue, Villanova, PA 19085 edward.guinan@villanova.edu

<sup>8</sup>Wesleyan University, Astronomy Department, 96 Foss Hill Drive, Van Vleck Observatory 101, Middletown, CT 06459 sredfield@weslevan.edu

<sup>9</sup>University of Chicago, Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 5640 S. Ellis Ave, Chicago, IL 60637 pfrisch@hep.uchicago.edu

 $^{10}$ Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Postfach 1312, Giessenbachstraße, D-85741 Garching, Germany kod@mpe.mpg.de

<sup>11</sup>Department of Astrophysics, University of Vienna, Tuerkenschanzstrasse 17, Wien, Austria A-1180 kristina.kislyakova@univie.ac.at

<sup>12</sup>Department of Physics, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL 32816 yan@physics.ucf.edu

39 Pages, 10 Figures, 0 Tables

Key words: X-rays: stars; techniques: spectroscopic; stars: planetary systems: formation, debris disks; astrochemistry

## If you liked this talk... look for our 2024 paper!!

# Supplementary Slides

# Some Calculations of the Scale of the SW

#### **Pressure of the Heliosphere:**

 $PV = n kT$  or  $P = (n/V) kT$  and [760 torr = 1 atm = 1.01 x 10<sup>5</sup> Pa,  $\Rightarrow$  1 torr = 133 Pa, 1 Pa = 1/133 torr]

So for Density  $\sim 1$  H/cm<sup>3</sup> at 1 million K Temperature, we have  $P = (1 \text{ H/cm}^3 * 10^6 \text{ cm}^3/\text{m}^3) * 1.38 \times 10^{-23} * 10^6 \text{ deg K} = 1.4 \times 10^{-11} \text{ Pa} \text{ [or } \sim 1 \times 10^{-13} \text{ torr}$ 

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

**By comparison:** Fluorescent light bulb Hg plasma pressure  $\sim 0.8$  Pa = 6 x 10<sup>-3</sup> torr Good rough pump vacuum  $\sim 1 \times 10^{-3}$  torr = 1.3 x  $\overline{10^{-1}}$  Pa Good turbopump vacuum ~ 1 x 10<sup>-7</sup> torr = 1.3 x 10<sup>-5</sup> Pa= Pressure on Pluto's surface, 10x Pressure in a Fusion Reactor Ultra High Lab Vacuum = 1 x 10<sup>-10</sup> to 1 x 10<sup>-11</sup> torr = 1.3 x 10<sup>-8</sup> Pa to 1.3 x 10<sup>-9</sup> Pa

#### **Mass of the Heliosphere:**

 $4\pi/3$ <sup>\*</sup> <1 H/cm<sup>3</sup> > \* (1.67 x 10<sup>-24</sup> g/H-atom) \* (1.5 x 10<sup>13</sup> cm)<sup>3</sup> = 24 x 10<sup>15</sup> g = 2.4e13 kg 2.4 x  $10^{13}$  kg is the mass of a 2.3 km radius comet of 0.5 g/cm<sup>3</sup> density

#### **Mass Flux into the Heliosphere:**

Sun loses  $\sim$ 2 x 10<sup>-14</sup> M<sub>Sun</sub>/yr =2 x 10<sup>-14</sup>/yr \* 2 x 10<sup>30</sup> kg \* /(3.1 x 10<sup>7</sup>sec/yr) = 1.3 x 109 kg/sec 4 H/cm<sup>3</sup> at  $1$  AU \* (1.67 x 10<sup>-27</sup> kg/H atom) \*  $4\pi$ <sup>\*</sup>(1.5 x 10<sup>13</sup> cm/AU)<sup>3</sup> \* 450 x 10<sup>5</sup>cm/sec = 1.3 x 10<sup>9</sup> kg/sec 1 x 109 kg is the mass of a large comet's coma, or of an 100 m radius comet-like body, or 500 Olympic swimming pools

Sun masses 3.3 x 10<sup>5</sup> M<sub>Earth</sub>, so Sun loses 7 x 10<sup>-8</sup> M<sub>Earth</sub>/yr, 70% of M<sub>earth</sub> in 10 Myrs, ~300 M<sub>earth</sub> in 4.56 Gyr, the age of the solar system (at current rates; the Sun's stellar wind was hundreds of times stronger when it was first born). Stellar winds from of low-mass stars likes the Sun do not strongly influence their evolution on THE MAIN SEQUENCE. (Preand Post-Main Sequence Stellar Winds CAN cause  $-M_{Sun}$  mass losses in Myrs!)

HUMANITY'S JOURNEY TO INTERSTELLAR SPACE INTERSTELLAR PROBE

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

## HELIOSPHERE

## **INTERSTELLAR MEDIUM**

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

)HNS HOPKINS

ISP's Future Journey to Mare Incognitum Through 3 Different Important Regions of Space

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

**ALMA + STIS + Chandra ACIS-S Imaging of HD61005** 

(w/ ACIS-S at same scale & coord as ALMA + STIS).

**The Chandra x-rays extend to the base of the Moth's "Wings".**

**Close up of HST/STIS (color) + ALMA imagery (contours) of HD61005 from MacGregor+ (2018),** which suggest that there are two components to the disk populated by both small micron-sized grains (HST) and larger mmsized grains (ALMA): (1) a confined planetesimal belt between 42 and 67 AU with a rising surface density gradient and (2) an extended outer halo interacting with and swept-back by the VLISM. **For scale, Voyager 1** has found the heliopause in our L<sub>y</sub>  $\sim$  10<sup>27.5</sup> system at  $\sim$ 150 AU. (right) HD61005: Chandra ACIS imagery is an ~10 pixels wide blob. For 0.5" x 0.5" pixels, this is a spherical blob about 5" in diameter, or 5"  $*$  35 pc  $*$ **1AU/pc = 175 au across.**

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 3(d) – Measured rotation rate vs stellar age for the Sun and several close solar analogues. The solid curve is a simple power law fit modeling  $P_{rot} \sim Age^{0.6}$ . Figure 3(e) – As measured XUV luminosities for EK Dra,  $\pi^1$  Uma,  $\pi^1$  Ceti, Beta Com, and Beta Hyi, all close solar analogue stars. Notice the factor of ~10<sup>3</sup> higher flux between EK Dra (=HD 61005) and  $\beta$  Hy<sub>i</sub> (= Tau Ceti). After Guinan & Engle (2007).

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Figure 3(f)** – Update of the  $L_x$  vs Age plot including an order of magnitude more G-stars and the predicted low (red), median (green) and high (blue) cases. After Tu et al. 2015.

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

Twisting of Magnetic Field Lines as the Sun Differentially Rotates Heats the Solar Coronal Atmosphere to ~1 MK (versus the 5780K surface temperature of the Sun) and powers the Solar Wind.

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

S**tellar Wind** (SW) = A flow of gas ejected from the upper [atmosphere](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stellar_atmosphere) of a [sta](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Star)r. [G-type](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/G-type_main-sequence_star) stars like the [Su](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sun)n have a wind driven by their hot, magnetized [corona](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stellar_corona)e. The Sun's wind is called the [solar](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Solar_wind) wind. These winds consist mostly of highenergy (~ [keV](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Electron_volt)), fast (200-800 km/sec, or ~0.1% light speed) stream of mostly ionized hydrogen, helium, and electrons (>99%) that are able to escape the star's [gravit](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Gravity)y because of the high [temperature](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Temperature) of the [corona](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Stellar_corona).